## Solutions:

1. To have an answer that is within an order of magnitude of the correct answer, or what is termed a zeroth-order approximation.
2. Multiple answers are possible depending on what is the objective. Locating at the two trip per day location minimizes the total distance traveled for the couple while locating one-third of the way between the two and one trip per day locations (the center of gravity) minimizes the weighted distance squared and results in a location that equalizes the travel for the couple.
3. Any two of the following: Transportation may not be the most important factor affecting location. Another criterion may be more important in determining the location; for example, minimizing the maximum distance (minimax). The location may not be feasible (e.g., cannot be located in the middle of a swamp).
4. Adv: Located in more convenient locations compared to when restaurants are owned by competing firms. Disadv: Higher prices.
5. Unless customers are forced to purchase from the facility being located, who actually pays for transport should be whoever can do it at the lowest cost, with transport cost factored into the purchase price.
6. Because it contains both integer variables (the binary variables indicating whether or not a NF is established at the site) and continuous variables (the fraction of EF demand serve from an NF at the site).
7. If the shipment cubes out instead of weighs out.
8. The unit of time over which demand is specified should have no impact on the results, which is only true if the fractional component is used (e.g., it should make no difference if you specify demand annually or weekly, but rounding weekly demand may result in zero demand for most weeks).
9. Adv: Minimizes transport cost. Allows monetary way to be determined independently of distance. Disadv: Increases cycle inventory cost, may not be feasible to store the entire truckload.
10. If there is perfect coordination between inbound and outbound shipment so that all product is crossdocked and none is put into permanent storage.
11. Because the cost of loading/unloading at each terminal, since the number of terminals visited increases with the distance of the shipment.
12. Because equal shipment size results is the same cycle inventory costs IC for both P2P TL and LTL.
13. A rate break is the shipment size at which the tariff rate changes, while a weight break is the point between two rate breaks at which it becomes cheaper to use the next, lower rate.

|  | dd |  | ss |  | ( (deg) | x (rad) | dd | mm | ss |  | y (deg) | y (rad) | d(rad) | d (mi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raleigh | 78 | 39 | 32 | W | -78.66 | -1.372857 | 35 | 49 | 19 | N | 35.82194 | 0.625211 |  |  |
| Rio de Janeiro | 43 | 12 | 0 | W | -43.2 | -0.753982 | 22 | 57 | 0 | S | -22.95 | -0.40055 | 1.181038 | 4679.089 |

.15. S07

|  | A | B | A\&B |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Kwt | 25 | 25 | 25 ton |
| Kcu | 2750 | 2750 | 2750 cu ft |
| s | 12 | 6 | $7.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft3}$ |
| d | 500 | 500 | 500 mi |
| r | 2 | 2 | 2 \$/mi |
| qmax | 16.5 | 8.25 | 10.3125 ton |
| f | 20 | 30 | 50 ton |
| v | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 \$/ton |
| h | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| a | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{q}^{*} \mathrm{TL}$ | 6.6666667 | 8.1649658 | 10.3125 ton |
| TLC $^{*} \mathrm{TL}$ | 6000 | 7348.4692 | 9489.11 |
|  |  |  | $13348.47 \mathrm{TLC} \mathrm{A+B}$ |

17. F08

18. F08

|  | A | B | A\&B | A+B |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kwt | 25 | 25 | 25 | ton |  | Pct | f | $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{nf}$ |  |
| Kcu | 2750 | 2750 | 2750 | cu ft | Asheville | 0.15 | 72 | 5.018182 | 5.018182 |
| S | 3 | 30 | 10.43478 | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{tt} 3$ | Statesville |  | 100 | 24.24242 | 29.26061 |
| qmax | 4.125 | 25 | 14.34783 | ton | Winston-Salem | 0.2 | 96 | 6.690909 | 35.95152 |
| $f$ | 100 | 380 | 480 | ton | Greensboro |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Durham | 0.3 | 144 | 10.03636 | 45.98788 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Raleigh |  | 380 | 15.2 | 61.18788 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Wilmington | 0.35 | 168 | 11.70909 | 72.89697 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | W= | 72.89697 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/2= | 36.44848 |  |


| Common | PPI_TL | 111.9 |  | Periodic | f | 175 ton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PPI_LTL | 121.4 |  |  | v | 7500 \$/ton |
|  | Kwt |  | ton |  | h | 0.3 |
|  | Kcu | 2750 |  |  | a | 0.5 |
|  | S |  | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 3$ |  | q1wk | 3.353867 |
|  | d | 750 | mi |  | TC_TL | 85279.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  | IC_TL | 3773.101 |
|  | r | 2.179163 | \$/mi |  | TLC1wk_TL | 89052.29 |
|  | qmax | 17.875 | ton |  | TC_LTL | 81170.92 |
|  | MC_TL | 49.03116 |  |  | IC_LTL | 3773.101 |
|  | MC_LTL | 64.79974 |  |  | TLC1wk_LTL | 84944.02 |

19. 



